




REMARKS

In response to the requirement for restriction of February 5, 2003, copy enclosed, Applicant hereby elects to prosecute the claims in Group I, namely, 1-24, inclusive, which are directed to a pharmaceutical composition containing a peptide.

Applicant expressly reserves the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected claims.

Please charge any fees which may be due and which have not been submitted herewith to our Deposit Account No. 01-0035.

Respectfully submitted,


JAY S. CINAMON -
Registration No. 24,156 -
Attorney for Applicant

ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017-5612
Tel. (212) 949-9022
Fax (212) 949-9190

notarbar\3303woo.pto

RECEIVED
MAR 10 2003
TECHCENTER 11600/2900



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
ADDRESS: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 776,266	02 02 2001	Wayne Woodrow	205,011	9732

7590 02.05.2003

ABELMAN, FRAYNE & SCHWAB
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017-5612



EXAMINER

AUDET, MAURY A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1654

DATE MAILED 02-05-2003

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AC BY RR 3/5/03

DOCKETED ON 2/11/03

DOCKETED WITHOUT FILE _____

ATTORNEY JSC

RECEIVED
MAR 10 2003
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Office Action Summary

MAR 03 2003



Application No.

09/776,266

Applicant(s)

WOODROW, WAYNE

Examiner

Maury Audet

Art Unit

1654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other

DETAILED ACTION

Requirement for Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.142, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition containing a peptide, classified in class 514, subclass 9.
- II. Claims 25-26, drawn to a process of making a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 530, subclass 333-.
- III. Claims 27-30, drawn to a spray unit containing a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class D24, subclass 111.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of making. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process as claimed is not an obvious process of making the product and the process as claimed can be used to make other and different products; (2) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP section 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be made by another and materially different process. Therefore, these Inventions are patentably distinct.

Inventions I and III are related as the medical device contains a composition of Invention

I. However, the medical device and composition fall within distinct classes. Furthermore, the medical device could house an infinite number of compositions, which would necessarily have to

Art Unit: 1654

be searched to address the limitation as claimed. Therefore, these Inventions are patentably distinct.

The process of making a composition of Invention II is not germane to the spray unit of Invention III, nor is the spray unit of Invention III necessary for the process of making a composition of Invention II. Therefore, these Inventions are patentably distinct.

Summary: Requirement for Restriction

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference, which would anticipate the invention of one group, would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application. Restriction for examination purposes is therefore proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1654

Request for Preliminary Set of Amended Claims

Based on the claims as originally filed, there are many dependencies among the different inventions identified above, namely from Inventions II and III to Invention I. Should Invention II or III be elected, Applicant is requested to submit a preliminary set of amended claims, directed to the elected invention, which do not contain any dependencies to any other non-elected inventions (claims), and which are fully descriptive of and include all limitations to that set of claims representing the elected invention.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 703-305-5039. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 AM – 5:30 PM, off Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-1234 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

MA

January 28, 2003


BRENDA BRUMBACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600